

**Automatic regrouping of strata in  
the goodness-of-fit chi-square test**

Vicente Núñez-Antón, Juan Manuel Pérez-Salamero González,  
Marta Regúlez-Castillo, Manuel Ventura-Marco  
and Carlos Vidal-Meliá

June 2019

The material contained herein is supplementary to the article named  
in the title and published in SORT-Statistics and Operations  
Research Transactions Volume 43 (1).



## 1. Pearson's goodness-of-fit test in the software analyzed

Table A1. Pearson's goodness-of-fit test in the software analyzed

Software	Chi-square Test	Regroup
<b>EXCEL</b> <i>MicroSoft Corporation</i>	CHISQ.TEST Returns the p-value obtained from Pearson's chi-square statistic [1.]. If any expected value is zero the error message #DIV/0! division by zero# is displayed.	NO
<b>GraphPad</b> <i>GraphPad Software, Inc</i>	QuickCalcs. On-line. It computes the statistic keeping the original observed and expected values, but only warns about the violation of the requirement of a minimum size of 5. If any of the expected values is zero the test is not carried out.	NO
<b>JMP</b> <i>SAS Institute Inc. Cary</i>	If any expected value is zero, the chi-square statistic is computed without taking this into account. It reports the error but does not compute the p-value. If the expected values or expected frequencies do not add up to 1 it allows them to be rescaled.	NO
<b>Mathematica</b> <i>Wolfram Research, Inc.</i>	PearsonChiSquareTest is a function that computes Pearson's chi-square statistic but based on a method due to D'Agostino and Stephens. In this method the histograms of the observed and expected values are compared, so it does not calculate the statistic in the same way as Pearson. (Ross, 2015).	NO
<b>MATLAB</b> <i>The MathWorks Inc.</i>	chi2gof: It computes the goodness-of-fit statistic. It regroups the strata at the extreme of the tails but not the intermediate ones. It allows the minimum size requirement to be set by using the EMin option. It returns the statistic value, the regrouped strata values, and any other information required about the test.	YES but only at the extreme of the tails.
<b>Minitab</b> <i>Minitab Inc.</i>	Mac: Statistics > Tables > Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit; PC: STATISTICS > Chi-Square Goodness-of-Fit. It warns that results may not be accurate when the strata of expected values have sizes lower than 5 and 1. It also gives information about the percentage of strata that do not satisfy the requirement. The expected frequencies must be entered in place of the expected values.	NO

continue on the next page

Software	Chi-square Test	Regroup
<b>NCSS</b> <i>NCSS, LLC</i>	It does not inform about the minimum size reached by some categories and does not display any error message if it detects a zero expected value, given that it does not consider such groups in carrying out its calculations.	NO
<b>PH-Stat</b> <i>Pearson Education, Inc.</i>	Add-in in Excel for statistical analysis based on functions written in Excel, so it has the same characteristics. In the chi-square goodness-of-fit tests it displays an error message about the violation of the assumption on the minimum expected frequency if it does not reach a minimum of 1 or 5 (chi-square test about difference between proportions), depending on the cases.	NO
<b>PSPPIRE</b> <i>Free Software Foundation, Inc.</i>	Free software similar to SPSS, but it does not display any minimum size requirement error message as SPSS does.	NO
<b>R</b> <i>The R Foundation for Statistical Computer</i>	chisq.test: If there are strata with expected values lower than 5, it reports that the results are not correct: 'Chi-squared approximation may be incorrect'. If there is a zero expected value, it does not compute the statistic because of division by zero. The statistic given by gofTest of the ENVStats is based on chisq.tets.	NO
<b>SAS-STAT</b> <i>SAS Institute Inc.</i>	The statement TABLES given in the procedure PROC FREQ does not allow for zero expected values in the option TESTF. It calculates the statistic showing the percentage of bins with expected values lower than 5 and it warns that the chi-square test results are not valid.	NO
<b>S-PLUS</b> <i>TIBCO Software Inc.</i>	Chisq.test works as in R, given that both use the same language and many of the functions of S-PLUS. The function chisq.gof in S-PLUS computes the goodness-of-fit test, but for theoretical expected values given by the usual statistical distributions.	NO

continue on the next page

Software	Chi-square Test	Regroup
<b>SPSS</b> <i>IBM Corporation and others</i>	If any expected frequency is zero the test procedure stops and reports this by telling the user that the expected values in each category must be at least 1 and no more than 20 % of the categories may be lower than 5. If any observed value is zero but the corresponding expected value is not, the test is not computed because it considers that there are fewer observed categories than expected. It does not allow an expected value for frequencies of less than 0.0001	NO
<b>STATA</b> <i>Estima Inc.</i>	To compute the statistic it deletes the zero expected values. To use the frequency tables (tabi, tab2, tabulate), it demands integer values, because it requires counts (absolute frequency), not relative frequencies.	NO
<b>Statistica</b> <i>Dell Inc.</i>	It reports a problem if the total sum of observed values does not coincide with that of the expected values, but it does not warn about the problem of the violation of the minimum size requirement.	NO
<b>Stochastic Simulation in Java.</b> <b>SSJ 3.2.0</b> <i>L'Ecuyer et al. University of Montreal</i>	GofStat: It conducts Pearson's goodness-of-fit test with the possibility of regrouping the strata given a minimum size required value to be set by the user. This is done in two steps using the observed and expected values. It combines the functions OutcomeCategoriesChi2 and regroupCategories.	YES
<b>ViSta</b> <i>F.W. Young.</i> <b>XLISP-STAT</b> <i>L. Tierney</i> <b>XLISP</b> <b>version</b> <i>D. Betz</i>	LispStat is no longer developed, because its creator is now a member of the R core team of programmers. There is software based on XLisp-Stat, such as ViSta, that warns about the existence of expected frequencies lower than 6 and that the chi-square test will not be valid.	NO
<b>XLStatistics</b> <i>R. Carr</i>	XLStatistics is an add-in in Excel for statistical analysis. It works with the functions given in Excel, so it has the same problems: it does not warn about the violation of the minimum size requirement and it does not allow for zero expected values.	NO

## 2. Case 2. Mathematical procedure

$$\max_{n_i^{SUB}} \left\{ n^{SUB} = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^{SUB} \right\} \quad (1)$$

subject to:

$$\chi^2(n_1^{SUB}, \dots, n_k^{SUB}) = \sum_{j=1}^{cat_{reg}} \frac{(\bar{n}_j^{SUB} - \bar{n}_j^{exp})^2}{\bar{n}_j^{exp}} \leq \chi_{(\alpha, r)}^2 \quad (2)$$

$$n_i^{exp} = \frac{N_i}{N} n^{SUB} = \frac{N_i}{N} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i^{SUB} \quad (3)$$

$$0 \leq n_i^{SUB} \leq N_i \quad (4)$$

$$0 \leq n_i^{SUB} \leq n_i^{RS} \quad (5)$$

$$n_i^{SUB} \in \mathbb{Z}; \forall i = 1, \dots, k \quad (6)$$

with

$n^{SUB}$ : Subsample size.

$n_i^{SUB}$ : Size of category i from the subsample (observed values).

$k$ : Number of strata on the variable of interest from which the stratification is made.

$\chi^2(n_1^{SUB}, \dots, n_k^{SUB})$ : Chi-square goodness-of-fit test statistic. Its value depends on the size of the regrouped strata.

$n_i^{exp}$ : Expected value size of category i from the subsample. It depends on the relative frequency of the population and the size of the subsample.

$\chi_{(\alpha, r)}^2$ : Critical value from the chi-square distribution with r degrees of freedom and a given statistical significance level  $\alpha$  fixed at 5%.

$N_i$ : Size of stratum i from male pensioners classified as permanently disabled, given by INSS (2014).

$\bar{n}_j^{exp}$ : Expected size of the regrouped category j from the sub-sample. It depends on the relative frequency from the population and from the size of the subsample.

$\bar{n}_j^{SUB}$ : Proposed observed size for the regrouped stratum j from the subsample.

$N$ : Total number of male pensioners classified as permanently disabled in the population of pensioners given by INSS (2014).

$cat_{reg}$ : Number of regrouped strata.

$r = cat_{reg} - 1$ : Degrees of freedom for the test, equal to the number of regrouped strata minus 1, given that in this case there are no parameters to be estimated because the population distribution is known.

$n_i^{RS}$ : Size of category i from the post-stratification of the CSWL (Random Sample).

$\mathbb{Z}$ : Set of integer numbers.

Constraint (2) is intended to achieve a better fit of the extracted subsample than the original (CSWL), given that it provides a value for the goodness-of-fit statistic that does not reject the null hypothesis. Using the functions shown in Appendix 2 the statistic and the degrees of freedom are calculated from the values of the automatically regrouped categories.

Rule (3) establishes that the regrouped expected value of each category or stratum, in each iteration, automatically adapts to the new size that the subsample can take.

Constraint (4) is set to prevent the outliers found in the CSWL. Given the procedure for obtaining the CSWL, and given that it comes from administrative records, the processing date of the CSWL is later than the one on which the Spanish Social Security Institute drawn up its statistics (INSS 2014). Therefore, there might be strata in the CSWL with pensioners who do not belong to the population because their benefits have been awarded retroactively. This constraint can be ignored if the sample is really contained in the population.

Constraint (5) implies that the subsample must be contained in the CSWL and (6) requires that the number of pensioners to be included in each stratum of the subsample be a natural number (non-negative integer).

### 3. Supplementary material. Codes in Excel (VBA) and Mathematica

#### Listing 1: VBA in Excel code. Chi-square statistic with regrouped strata

```
Function ChiSquaredTestChi(Observed As Range, Expected As Range, _
Minimum As Integer) As Double
'The observed and expected variables are declared as cell ranges
'and we use the Minimum variable to set the minimum number of
'elements for each strata.

'Statement of chi variable and observed and expected frequency
'matrices.

Dim chi As Double
Dim FreqExp() As Double
Dim FreqObs() As Double

'Correct Selection of Observed and Expected Data Range

    'By rows
    ObservedRows = Observed.Rows.Count
    ExpectedRows = Expected.Rows.Count

    'By columns
    ObservedColumns = Observed.Columns.Count
    ExpectedColumns = Expected.Columns.Count

    If ObservedColumns <> ExpectedColumns Then
        MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
        GoTo final
    End If

    If ObservedRows <> ExpectedRows Then
        MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
        GoTo final
    End If

'Resize the matrices from their actual dimension according
'to user data selection

ReDim FreqExp(ExpectedRows)
ReDim FreqObs(ObservedRows)

'Initialization of variables to count strata of minimum size

Dim StrataRegrouped As Integer
Dim last As Integer

StrataRegrouped = 0
last = 0
accumulator = 0

'Initial statement of arrays of regrouped frequencies for observed
'and expected values. Frequencies that will result from regrouping
'strata by requirement of minimum size.

Dim FreqExpReg() As Double
Dim FreqObsReg() As Double

'Initial assignment of Excel values to frequency arrays (expected
'and observed).

    'Expected
    ne = 0
    For Each x In Expected
        ne = ne + 1
        FreqExp(ne) = x.Value
    Next x
    'Observed
    no = 0
    For Each x In Observed
        no = no + 1
        FreqObs(no) = x.Value
    Next x

'Grouping in strata of size greater than or equal to Minimum.
'Prepare the array of values for subsequent assignment to the
'frequency matrix of the strata of valid size.

'add to the minor nearest. Start: first

If FreqExp(1) < Minimum Then
    FreqExp(2) = FreqExp(2) + FreqExp(1)
    FreqObs(2) = FreqObs(2) + FreqObs(1)
    FreqExp(1) = 0
    FreqObs(1) = 0
Else
    StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
    last = 1
End If

For i = 2 To ObservedRows - 1
```



```

If last > 0 Then
    If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) > FreqExp(last)) Then
        FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(i)
        FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(i)
        FreqExp(i) = 0
        FreqObs(i) = 0
    ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) <= FreqExp(last)) Then
        FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
        FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
        FreqExp(i) = 0
        FreqObs(i) = 0
    End If
End If
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (last = 0) Then
    FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
    FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
    FreqExp(i) = 0
    FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) < FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
    FreqExp(i - 1) = FreqExp(i - 1) + FreqExp(i)
    FreqObs(i - 1) = FreqObs(i - 1) + FreqObs(i)
    FreqExp(i) = 0
    FreqObs(i) = 0
    last = i - 1
ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) >= FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
    FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
    FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
    FreqExp(i) = 0
    FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
If FreqExp(i) >= Minimum Then
    StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
    last = i
End If
Next i

If FreqExp(ObservedRows) < Minimum Then
    FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(ObservedRows)
    FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(ObservedRows)
    FreqExp(ObservedRows) = 0
    FreqObs(ObservedRows) = 0
Else
    StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
End If

'Control of sample size less than Minimum. No strata of minimum size.

If StrataRegrouped = 0 Then
    MsgBox "No strata of minimum size"
    GoTo final
End If

'Redimension of the matrices of regrouped frequencies ,
'after knowing the number of strata of size greater or equal to Minimum.

ReDim FreqExpReg(StrataRegrouped)
ReDim FreqObsReg(StrataRegrouped)

'Assignment of frequency values to the frequency matrices.

For i = 1 To ObservedRows
    If FreqExp(i) <> 0 Then
        accumulator = accumulator + 1
        FreqExpReg(accumulator) = FreqExp(i)
        FreqObsReg(accumulator) = FreqObs(i)
    End If
Next i

'Calculation of the chi-square statistic

'Control of null values in the matrix of expected regrouped frequencies
For j = 1 To StrataRegrouped
    If FreqExpReg(j) = 0 Then
        GoTo final
    Else
        'Summative in chi-square formula.
        chi = chi + (FreqObsReg(j) - FreqExpReg(j)) ^ 2 / FreqExpReg(j)
    End If
Next j

'Assignment of the value of the calculated statistic to the
'ChiSquaredTestChi function.

ChiSquaredTestChi = chi

' ***** Omitted for iterative use (Solver) *****
'Verification: Total of observed values is equal to the total of
'expected values.
'
'Dim totalobs As Double
'Dim totalexp As Double
'    For Each x In Observed
'        totalobs = totalobs + x.Value
'    Next x

```

```

'
' For Each x In Expected
'     totalexp = totalexp + x.Value
' Next x
' If totalobs > totalexp Then
'     MsgBox "Total observed does not match total expected"
' Else
'     End If
' *****
' Initialization of frequency arrays.
Erase FreqExp()
Erase FreqObs()
Erase FreqExpReg()
Erase FreqObsReg()

final:

End Function

```

## Listing 2: Mathematica code. Chi-square statistic with regrouped strata

```

PearsonTestChi2[obs_List, exp_List, min_Integer] /;
Length[obs] == Length[exp] :=
Module[{strata, last, i}, {strata = Length[exp];
obsSUB = obs;
expSUB = exp;
last = 0;
{{If[expSUB[[1]] < min, {expSUB[[2]] = expSUB[[2]] + expSUB[[1]],
obsSUB[[2]] = obsSUB[[2]] + obsSUB[[1]], expSUB[[1]] = 0,
obsSUB[[1]] = 0}, last = 1}], {For[i = 2, i <= strata - 1, i++,
{{If[
last > 0, {{If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] >
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[last]] =
expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] =
0}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] <=
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}}], {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] == 0) \[And] (last ==
0), {expSUB[[i + 1]] = expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] =
0}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] < expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i - 1]] = expSUB[[i - 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i - 1]] = obsSUB[[i - 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0,
last = i - 1}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >= expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] = expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}}], {If[expSUB[[i]] >= min,
last = i }]}}, {If[
expSUB[[strata]] <
min, {expSUB[[last]] = expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[strata]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[strata]],
expSUB[[strata]] = 0, obsSUB[[strata]] = 0}}];
expreg = {}; obsreg = {};
For[i = 1, i <= strata, i++,
If[expSUB[[i]] >= min, {AppendTo[expreg, expSUB[[i]],
AppendTo[obsreg, obsSUB[[i]]]};
chi = N[Total[(obsreg - expreg)^2/expreg]];
If[Total[exp] != Total[obs],
Print["Total observed does not match total expected. ",
" Chi statistic ", chi], Return[chi]]

```

## Listing 3: VBA in Excel code. Number of regrouped strata

```

Function ChiSquaredTestStrataReg(Observed As Range, Expected As Range, _
Minimum As Integer) As Double
'The observed and expected variables are declared as cell ranges
'and we use the Minimum variable to set the minimum number of
'elements for each strata.

'Statement of chi variable and observed and expected frequency
'matrices.
Dim chi As Double
Dim FreqExp() As Double
Dim FreqObs() As Double

'Correct Selection of Observed and Expected Data Range

```

```

'By rows
ObservedRows = Observed.Rows.Count
ExpectedRows = Expected.Rows.Count

'By columns
ObservedColumns = Observed.Columns.Count
ExpectedColumns = Expected.Columns.Count

If ObservedColumns <> ExpectedColumns Then
MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
GoTo final
End If

If ObservedRows <> ExpectedRows Then
MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
GoTo final
End If

'Resize the matrices from their actual dimension according to user data
'selection

ReDim FreqExp(ObservedRows)
ReDim FreqObs(ExpectedRows)

'Initialization of variables to count strata of minimum size.

Dim StrataRegrouped As Integer
Dim last As Integer

StrataRegrouped = 0
last = 0
accumulator = 0

'Initial statement of arrays of regrouped frequencies for observed and
'expected values. Frequencies that will result from regrouping strata by
'requirement of minimum size.

Dim FreqExpReg() As Double
Dim FreqObsReg() As Double

'Initial assignment of Excel values to frequency arrays (expected and
'observed).

'Expected
ne = 0
For Each x In Expected
ne = ne + 1
FreqExp(ne) = x.Value
Next x
'Observed
no = 0
For Each x In Observed
no = no + 1
FreqObs(no) = x.Value
Next x

'Grouping in strata of size greater than or equal to Minimum.
'Prepare the array of values for subsequent assignment to the
'frequency matrix of the strata of valid size.

'add to the minor nearest. Start: first

If FreqExp(1) < Minimum Then
FreqExp(2) = FreqExp(2) + FreqExp(1)
FreqObs(2) = FreqObs(2) + FreqObs(1)
FreqExp(1) = 0
FreqObs(1) = 0
Else
StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
last = 1
End If

For i = 2 To ObservedRows - 1

If last > 0 Then
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) > FreqExp(last)) Then
FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) <= FreqExp(last)) Then
FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
End If

If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (last = 0) Then
FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
End If

If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) < FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
FreqExp(i - 1) = FreqExp(i - 1) + FreqExp(i)

```

```

        FreqObs(i - 1) = FreqObs(i - 1) + FreqObs(i)
        FreqExp(i) = 0
        FreqObs(i) = 0
        last = i - 1
    ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) >= FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
        FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
        FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
        FreqExp(i) = 0
        FreqObs(i) = 0
    End If
    If FreqExp(i) >= Minimum Then
        StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
        last = i
    End If
Next i

If FreqExp(ObservedRows) < Minimum Then
    FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(ObservedRows)
    FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(ObservedRows)
    FreqExp(ObservedRows) = 0
    FreqObs(ObservedRows) = 0
Else
    StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
End If

'Control of sample size less than Minimum. No strata of minimum size.

If StrataRegrouped = 0 Then
    MsgBox "No strata of minimum size"
    GoTo final
End If

'Assignment of the number of the regrouped strata to the
'ChiSquaredTestStrataReg function.

ChiSquaredTestStrataReg = StrataRegrouped

' ***** Omitted for iterative use (Solver) *****
'Verification: Total of observed values is equal to the total of
'expected values.
'
'Dim totalobs As Double
'Dim totalexp As Double
'    For Each x In Observed
'        totalobs = totalobs + x.Value
'    Next x
'
'    For Each x In Expected
'        totalexp = totalexp + x.Value
'    Next x
'    If totalobs <> totalexp Then
'        MsgBox "Total observed does not match total expected"
'    Else
'        End If
'*****

'Initialization of frequency arrays.
Erase FreqExp()
Erase FreqObs()
Erase FreqExpReg()
Erase FreqObsReg()

final:
End Function

```

#### Listing 4: VBA in Excel code. Observed and expected values

```

Function ChiSquaredTesObsExpVal(Observed As Range, Expected As Range, _
Minimum As Integer) As Double()
    'The observed and expected variables are declared as cell ranges
    'and we use the Minimum variable to set the minimum number of
    'elements for each strata.

    'Statement of chi variable and observed and expected frequency
    'matrices.

    Dim values() As Double
    Dim FreqExp() As Double
    Dim FreqObs() As Double
    'Correct Selection of Observed and Expected Data Range

    'By rows
    ObservedRows = Observed.Rows.Count
    ExpectedRows = Expected.Rows.Count

    'By columns
    ObservedColumns = Observed.Columns.Count
    ExpectedColumns = Expected.Columns.Count

    If ObservedColumns <> ExpectedColumns Then
        MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
        GoTo final
    End If

```

```

End If

If ObservedRows <> ExpectedRows Then
MsgBox "Incorrect selection"
GoTo final
End If

'Resize the matrices from their actual dimension according to user data
'selection

ReDim FreqExp(ObservedRows)
ReDim FreqObs(ExpectedRows)
ReDim values(ObservedRows, 2)

'Initialization of variables to count strata of minimum size.

Dim StrataRegrouped As Integer
Dim last As Integer

StrataRegrouped = 0
last = 0
accumulator = 0

'Initial statement of arrays of regrouped frequencies for observed and
'expected values. Frequencies that will result from regrouping strata by
'requirement of minimum size.

'Expected
ne = 0
For Each x In Expected
ne = ne + 1
FreqExp(ne) = x.Value
Next x
'Observed
no = 0
For Each x In Observed
no = no + 1
FreqObs(no) = x.Value
Next x

'Grouping in strata of size greater than or equal to Minimum.
'Prepare the array of values for subsequent assignment to the
'frequency matrix of the strata of valid size.

'add to the minor nearest. Start: first

If FreqExp(1) < Minimum Then
FreqExp(2) = FreqExp(2) + FreqExp(1)
FreqObs(2) = FreqObs(2) + FreqObs(1)
FreqExp(1) = 0
FreqObs(1) = 0
Else
StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
last = 1
End If

For i = 2 To ObservedRows - 1

If last > 0 Then
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) > FreqExp(last)) Then
FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (FreqExp(i + 1) <= FreqExp(last)) Then
FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
End If
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) = 0) And (last = 0) Then
FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
If (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) < FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
FreqExp(i - 1) = FreqExp(i - 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i - 1) = FreqObs(i - 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
last = i - 1
ElseIf (FreqExp(i) < Minimum) And (FreqExp(i - 1) > 0) And (FreqExp(i - 1) >= FreqExp(i + 1)) Then
FreqExp(i + 1) = FreqExp(i + 1) + FreqExp(i)
FreqObs(i + 1) = FreqObs(i + 1) + FreqObs(i)
FreqExp(i) = 0
FreqObs(i) = 0
End If
If FreqExp(i) >= Minimum Then
StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
last = i
End If
Next i

If FreqExp(ObservedRows) < Minimum Then

```

```

    FreqExp(last) = FreqExp(last) + FreqExp(ObservedRows)
    FreqObs(last) = FreqObs(last) + FreqObs(ObservedRows)
    FreqExp(ObservedRows) = 0
    FreqObs(ObservedRows) = 0
Else
    StrataRegrouped = StrataRegrouped + 1
End If

'Control of sample size less than Minimum. No strata of minimum size.

If StrataRegrouped = 0 Then
    MsgBox "No strata of minimum size"
    GoTo final
End If

'Assignment of the regrouped strata values to the "values" array.

For i = 1 To ObservedRows
    values(i, 1) = FreqObs(i)
    values(i, 2) = FreqExp(i)
Next i

'Assignment of the "values" array to the ChiSquaredTesObsExpVal function.
ChiSquaredTesObsExpVal = values

' ***** Omitted for iterative use (Solver) *****
'Verification: Total of observed values is equal to the total of
'expected values.
'
'Dim totalobs As Double
'Dim totalexp As Double
'    For Each x In Observed
'        totalobs = totalobs + x.Value
'    Next x
'
'    For Each x In Expected
'        totalexp = totalexp + x.Value
'    Next x
'    If totalobs <> totalexp Then
'        MsgBox "Total observed does not match total expected"
'    Else
'        End If
'*****
'Initialization of frequency arrays.

Erase FreqExp()
Erase FreqObs()
Erase values()
final:

End Function

```

### Listing 5: Mathematica code. Number of regrouped strata

```

PearsonTestStrata[exp_List, min_Integer] :=
Module[{last, strata, i, stratareg}, {strata = Length[exp];
expSUB = exp;
last = 0;
{{If[expSUB[[1]] < min, {expSUB[[2]] = expSUB[[2]] + expSUB[[1]],
expSUB[[1]] = 0}, last = 1]}, {For[i = 2, i <= strata - 1, i++,
{If[
last > 0, {{If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] >
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[last]] =
expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] =
0}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] <=
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0}}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] == 0) \[And] (last ==
0), {expSUB[[i + 1]] = expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] =
0}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] <
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i - 1]] =
expSUB[[i - 1]] + expSUB[[i]], expSUB[[i]] = 0,
last = i - 1}}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >=
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]], expSUB[[i]] = 0}}}, {If[
expSUB[[i]] >= min, last = i + 1}}}}, {If[
expSUB[[strata]] <
min, {expSUB[[last]] = expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[strata]],
expSUB[[strata]] = 0}}}}];
expreg = {};
For[i = 1, i <= strata, i++,

```

```

If[expSUB[[i]] >= min, AppendTo[expreg, expSUB[[i]]]];
stratareg = Length[expreg]; Label[End];
Return[stratareg];

```

## Listing 6: Mathematica code. P-value

```

PearsonTestPValue[obs_List, exp_List, min_Integer] /;
Length[obs] == Length[exp] :=
Module[{last, chi, strata, i, stratareg,
pvalue}, {strata = Length[exp];
obsSUB = obs;
expSUB = exp;
last = 0;
{{If[expSUB[[1]] < min, {expSUB[[2]] = expSUB[[2]] + expSUB[[1]],
obsSUB[[2]] = obsSUB[[2]] + obsSUB[[1]], expSUB[[1]] = 0,
obsSUB[[1]] = 0}, last = 1}}, {For[i = 2, i <= strata - 1, i++,
{{If[
last > 0, {{If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] >
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[last]] =
expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] <=
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] == 0) \[And] (last ==
0), {expSUB[[i + 1]] = expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] <
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i - 1]] =
expSUB[[i - 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i - 1]] = obsSUB[[i - 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0,
last = i - 1}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >=
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}, {If[expSUB[[i]] >= min,
last = i ]}}, {If[
expSUB[[strata]] <
min, {expSUB[[last]] = expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[strata]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[strata]],
expSUB[[strata]] = 0, obsSUB[[strata]] = 0}}];
expreg = {}; obsreg = {};
For[i = 1, i <= strata, i++,
If[expSUB[[i]] >= min, {AppendTo[expreg, expSUB[[i]]],
AppendTo[obsreg, obsSUB[[i]]]}];
chi = N[Total[(obsreg - expreg)^2/expreg]];
stratareg = Length[expreg];
pvalue =
SurvivalFunction[ChiSquareDistribution[stratareg - 1], chi]];
If[Total[exp] != Total[obs],
Print["Total observed does not match total expected. ",
" p-value ", pvalue], Return[pvalue]]]

```

## Listing 7: Mathematica code. Summary of Chi-square Test results

```

PearsonTest[obs_List, exp_List, min_Integer] /;
Length[obs] == Length[exp] :=
Module[{last, chi, strata, i, stratareg,
pvalue}, {strata = Length[exp];
obsSUB = obs;
expSUB = exp;
last = 0;
{{If[expSUB[[1]] < min, {expSUB[[2]] = expSUB[[2]] + expSUB[[1]],
obsSUB[[2]] = obsSUB[[2]] + obsSUB[[1]], expSUB[[1]] = 0,
obsSUB[[1]] = 0}, last = 1}}, {For[i = 2, i <= strata - 1, i++,
{{If[
last > 0, {{If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] >
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[last]] =
expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] ==
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i + 1]] <=
expSUB[[last]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],

```

```

        obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
        expSUB[[i]] = 0,
        obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}}], {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] == 0) \[And] (last ==
0), {expSUB[[i + 1]] = expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0,
obsSUB[[i]] =
0}}, {If[(expSUB[[i]] < min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] <
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i - 1]] =
expSUB[[i - 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i - 1]] = obsSUB[[i - 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0,
last = i - 1}}}], {If[(expSUB[[i]] <
min) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >
0) \[And] (expSUB[[i - 1]] >=
expSUB[[i + 1]]), {expSUB[[i + 1]] =
expSUB[[i + 1]] + expSUB[[i]],
obsSUB[[i + 1]] = obsSUB[[i + 1]] + obsSUB[[i]],
expSUB[[i]] = 0, obsSUB[[i]] = 0}}}], {If[expSUB[[i]] >= min,
last = i ]}}}], {If[
expSUB[[strata]] <
min, {expSUB[[last]] = expSUB[[last]] + expSUB[[strata]],
obsSUB[[last]] = obsSUB[[last]] + obsSUB[[strata]],
expSUB[[strata]] = 0, obsSUB[[strata]] = 0}}]};
expreg = {}; obsreg = {};
For[i = 1, i <= strata, i++,
If[expSUB[[i]] >= min, {AppendTo[expreg, expSUB[[i]],
AppendTo[obsreg, obsSUB[[i]]}]]];
chi = N[Total[(obsreg - expreg)^2/expreg]];
stratareg = Length[expreg];
pvalue =
SurvivalFunction[ChiSquareDistribution[stratareg - 1], chi];
If[Total[exp] != Total[obs],
Print["Total observed does not match total expected. ",
" Chi statistic ", chi, " p-value ", pvalue,
" Observed values regrouped ", obsreg,
" Expected values regrouped ", expreg],
Rule[{"Chi statistic ", " p-value ", " Observed values regrouped ",
" Expected values regrouped "}, {chi, pvalue, obsreg, expreg}]]]

```



**Table A2.** Results reported for 10 different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 10$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 50$  and  $k = 10$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution										
k	popul. 1	popul. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18
1	0.067722412	0.093438111	0.099092428	0.055167980	0.126712503	0.158331638	0.129522785	0.155141773	0.129108184	0.154908851
2	0.108251949	0.039193314	0.143654215	0.170135074	0.175667913	0.054474180	0.101468142	0.117873535	0.134484690	0.064089325
3	0.059166912	0.088253560	0.090975941	0.058609862	0.086016774	0.142045200	0.058832579	0.163627763	0.021375951	0.166329069
4	0.161665856	0.068734338	0.085228675	0.037666222	0.011613805	0.104115288	0.100833318	0.175329030	0.018903249	0.132811973
5	0.118838198	0.145124529	0.168663482	0.034227447	0.101429894	0.077007347	0.067901927	0.029351531	0.055381409	0.077241285
6	0.149585825	0.125514219	0.070588045	0.103546999	0.210875342	0.089579279	0.168068825	0.034844052	0.182953566	0.053626300
7	0.137791080	0.158950344	0.133376176	0.176459534	0.021765578	0.023434411	0.015373940	0.133862115	0.127970072	0.035629231
8	0.059378892	0.119973253	0.041377815	0.159305809	0.044490360	0.031816071	0.158329630	0.055992935	0.145612819	0.153044117
9	0.083716990	0.011236121	0.003529199	0.133811376	0.115097525	0.160946852	0.162414523	0.045883570	0.055317926	0.066055454
10	0.053881886	0.149582209	0.163514025	0.071069698	0.106330305	0.158249734	0.03725433	0.088093695	0.128892133	0.096264395
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.097	0.102	0.103	0.099	0.099	0.097	0.097	0.092	0.102	0.099
regroup	0.103	0.096	0.100	0.097	0.099	0.101	0.095	0.090	0.099	0.096
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.048	0.054	0.055	0.053	0.050	0.054	0.050	0.048	0.050	0.051
regroup	0.054	0.046	0.048	0.049	0.048	0.052	0.047	0.041	0.051	0.050
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.012	0.013	0.018	0.018	0.015	0.017	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.013
regroup	0.011	0.011	0.009	0.012	0.009	0.012	0.009	0.008	0.011	0.009

**Table A3.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 15$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 75$  and  $k = 15$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution															
k	popul. 1	popul. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18					
1	0.127057671	0.083861803	0.081106551	0.072320794	0.078080154	0.029394665	0.118093818	0.131915150	0.124764161	0.101425616					
2	0.088104527	0.067347127	0.063163406	0.031832989	0.091721146	0.057298124	0.064924762	0.137187332	0.084398252	0.054144288					
3	0.029902404	0.085171458	0.035443425	0.062531848	0.002989394	0.050403893	0.085464849	0.092797832	0.074048405	0.083714805					
4	0.008582462	0.024179898	0.090621898	0.006554065	0.009238380	0.117528851	0.088907182	0.013390771	0.032826123	0.033579822					
5	0.113134725	0.110562508	0.043446425	0.085630406	0.132330601	0.000768729	0.081224262	0.077103919	0.031323120	0.069563207					
6	0.007700507	0.042833764	0.079690194	0.050492072	0.045150829	0.021549876	0.008446190	0.154708685	0.001610057	0.079027830					
7	0.130266721	0.036978384	0.054341777	0.005275661	0.119958130	0.086208961	0.044215657	0.049446275	0.017379203	0.058223969					
8	0.043228436	0.039777167	0.055824977	0.037389853	0.037573870	0.058081580	0.105879702	0.025265570	0.032805863	0.008089689					
9	0.080676242	0.112476617	0.079526539	0.016972967	0.095983503	0.120659949	0.027606531	0.027259488	0.103095726	0.010500826					
10	0.094468872	0.094139849	0.062781085	0.078659953	0.124772249	0.097925781	0.064328900	0.145884761	0.034570874	0.105571614					
11	0.023806346	0.084163565	0.108837039	0.107596687	0.031639086	0.028899879	0.027285188	0.063096567	0.106607857	0.058341183					
12	0.115757204	0.029147017	0.025956871	0.144561350	0.037859485	0.096476790	0.119112740	0.011338246	0.093203420	0.120721080					
13	0.107141421	0.101775293	0.103602266	0.054244863	0.121044273	0.109784646	0.027558602	0.021700713	0.046306240	0.124422616					
14	0.017980943	0.025664489	0.077737739	0.118268377	0.034410514	0.091606477	0.051464298	0.030177488	0.096164501	0.091153404					
15	0.012191519	0.061921062	0.037919809	0.127668115	0.037248387	0.033411800	0.085487321	0.018727203	0.120896197	0.001520050					
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$															
do not regroup	0.110	0.098	0.099	0.109	0.107	0.123	0.095	0.107	0.108	0.114					
regroup	0.091	0.093	0.096	0.102	0.096	0.105	0.092	0.101	0.102	0.090					
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$															
do not regroup	0.059	0.050	0.047	0.061	0.061	0.084	0.053	0.057	0.059	0.065					
regroup	0.045	0.044	0.046	0.050	0.048	0.053	0.046	0.049	0.048	0.042					
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$															
do not regroup	0.017	0.014	0.009	0.017	0.019	0.030	0.011	0.014	0.015	0.020					
regroup	0.009	0.012	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.013	0.010	0.001	0.009	0.008					

**Table A4.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 100$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance levels in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution										
k	popul. 1	popul. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18
1	0.009906949	0.042585801	0.036322397	0.023803358	0.056050361	0.048540316	0.048978727	0.014696213	0.004618549	0.020337923
2	0.043334958	0.084595131	0.073420820	0.064449053	0.043706663	0.085501927	0.054249217	0.049354784	0.045174755	0.012077438
3	0.068751733	0.055949091	0.065878707	0.037395221	0.035956904	0.056738810	0.062717404	0.038945982	0.006326356	0.012472135
4	0.075322010	0.088903934	0.093919822	0.080488103	0.012855218	0.082229679	0.057512461	0.087900507	0.104546576	0.089286075
5	0.084464071	0.089215586	0.091398883	0.018016210	0.085894992	0.021013929	0.067902855	0.082246443	0.054052148	0.007489974
6	0.055848915	0.063276437	0.021928939	0.036960583	0.000994794	0.011044924	0.037607372	0.064129728	0.077098867	0.000477913
7	0.033849691	0.007263521	0.064953627	0.069011621	0.055694252	0.093010187	0.041731769	0.019967383	0.110322256	0.059307357
8	0.041782157	0.015192684	0.004878198	0.006500386	0.038241324	0.008823266	0.066635437	0.037496205	0.044073167	0.092347960
9	0.049615947	0.009841069	0.009040455	0.094543419	0.028413965	0.099002066	0.074037397	0.062557226	0.094012295	0.081521459
10	0.054796141	0.001109167	0.090830640	0.047526405	0.072759348	0.039090212	0.057435627	0.033694948	0.027255678	0.012003245
11	0.049573297	0.045490837	0.070485338	0.022710569	0.092939405	0.070205677	0.054643564	0.035830706	0.102879776	0.064882703
12	0.034362080	0.011503208	0.012250549	0.077123015	0.087869027	0.051263015	0.046862814	0.061074007	0.002815851	0.065966882
13	0.003321141	0.082512233	0.036884669	0.047694596	0.094458297	0.053229198	0.030201345	0.056240124	0.006559463	0.006896735
14	0.035231977	0.028957298	0.021443815	0.071919102	0.009911000	0.005160299	0.016883433	0.011740128	0.002543542	0.055721211
15	0.096938721	0.058782500	0.060608656	0.064842833	0.042211503	0.051071775	0.044675117	0.057298982	0.035805897	0.044729954
16	0.079250776	0.010929522	0.058052934	0.006038138	0.073256785	0.003184016	0.031371077	0.075481374	0.070503856	0.082763910
17	0.032532973	0.090748245	0.089832763	0.087521877	0.023712180	0.069816537	0.072661166	0.038469940	0.089473563	0.068822077
18	0.068855512	0.033207256	0.002387938	0.054802997	0.083944166	0.088130478	0.057917558	0.093425379	0.013317647	0.086962221
19	0.019344094	0.104381792	0.043173925	0.007385808	0.009079374	0.014168915	0.028620055	0.050048690	0.046279136	0.089193171
20	0.062916859	0.075554687	0.052306925	0.081266705	0.052050441	0.048774773	0.047355605	0.029401252	0.062340620	0.046739658
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
not regroup	0.093	0.110	0.112	0.112	0.106	0.103	0.093	0.103	0.122	0.124
regroup	0.097	0.094	0.095	0.098	0.099	0.097	0.095	0.093	0.100	0.092
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
not regroup	0.050	0.068	0.060	0.061	0.064	0.055	0.047	0.051	0.068	0.082
regroup	0.048	0.048	0.047	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.046	0.043	0.049	0.043
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
not regroup	0.013	0.023	0.019	0.018	0.020	0.020	0.010	0.011	0.027	0.035
regroup	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.008	0.009	0.012	0.007

**Table A5.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 250$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution										
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18
1	0.047643128	0.054666723	0.056038758	0.090599483	0.025317013	0.023099063	0.064584061	0.1136478476	0.043123333	0.054066459
2	0.024791212	0.044261848	0.032569245	0.044497446	0.014610403	0.084334948	0.009032926	0.0114084696	0.072955298	0.053573431
3	0.054639637	0.071340360	0.073471657	0.049842681	0.020683555	0.037648781	0.066675056	0.006032668	0.034107228	0.013291318
4	0.011551957	0.035790188	0.017892204	0.048986190	0.015986321	0.061877989	0.023052570	0.051462288	0.069213717	0.045963934
5	0.043209785	0.068393517	0.060880791	0.038016856	0.078291674	0.015100046	0.085352639	0.015104595	0.055712777	0.094207824
6	0.058607724	0.084149275	0.040842165	0.082739121	0.059420758	0.012071383	0.011090706	0.077633225	0.038688100	0.023326840
7	0.004077781	0.036377709	0.062850108	0.075899205	0.050698562	0.072435772	0.049065314	0.072825503	0.083729668	0.047299352
8	0.085955934	0.066886372	0.073347443	0.035307555	0.045219825	0.044208957	0.055207226	0.019632440	0.056600081	0.018773053
9	0.023369288	0.007532102	0.032843147	0.026278327	0.058397084	0.032659614	0.083467899	0.016586480	0.010340800	0.050770889
10	0.087652522	0.007532102	0.054125222	0.034259425	0.044017966	0.061446558	0.039048538	0.069347828	0.000467925	0.095008505
11	0.095771275	0.057965331	0.055877398	0.0111887980	0.088882200	0.029313393	0.063182257	0.045379877	0.079491665	0.001551221
12	0.096306521	0.030974889	0.036689952	0.006101936	0.044860170	0.068419459	0.062127872	0.119150362	0.038817979	0.013723146
13	0.080897006	0.087201505	0.004498861	0.029781475	0.094173221	0.009146832	0.018611673	0.017167618	0.063579053	0.040856797
14	0.013705065	0.070556907	0.100690100	0.059337269	0.017035620	0.083251916	0.051065504	0.033760570	0.011793975	0.071820279
15	0.024205251	0.014659583	0.060392354	0.087250033	0.067126421	0.059621249	0.048932496	0.001487572	0.063205970	0.098332826
16	0.004839018	0.087276954	0.007352012	0.074132698	0.079880521	0.091801986	0.023883012	0.075759621	0.016191521	0.021901263
17	0.060014816	0.068505786	0.040949294	0.063520955	0.093936062	0.032076674	0.029721620	0.096471797	0.075764151	0.060809674
18	0.037409444	0.050921813	0.080223679	0.007014358	0.004676127	0.060818519	0.056226443	0.055834461	0.092658366	0.034348079
19	0.071116566	0.043564240	0.034035218	0.082594193	0.036804493	0.082172574	0.077824913	0.006284488	0.082035648	0.102590301
20	0.074236070	0.003344816	0.074430393	0.051952812	0.059982005	0.038494286	0.081847276	0.069515496	0.011522743	0.057784808
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.099	0.101	0.106	0.096	0.097	0.095	0.105	0.102	0.109	0.104
regroup	0.093	0.101	0.104	0.097	0.097	0.098	0.100	0.098	0.104	0.102
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.054	0.055	0.052	0.050	0.051	0.052	0.052	0.053	0.059	0.057
regroup	0.050	0.053	0.051	0.050	0.046	0.048	0.049	0.051	0.054	0.049
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.013	0.014	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.017	0.019	0.015
regroup	0.01	0.013	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.013

**Table A6.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 500$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution											
k	popul. 1	popul. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18	
1	0.009323228	0.021842441	0.073053675	0.040873644	0.034371818	0.004343310	0.077050114	0.009333736	0.089174555	0.077053926	
2	0.073419610	0.084240115	0.043107814	0.042528243	0.090126015	0.092113419	0.078204289	0.067545345	0.093289019	0.032029821	
3	0.064476239	0.067584721	0.053896718	0.030820872	0.011727517	0.084304086	0.054005211	0.063428357	0.053021839	0.082081999	
4	0.011049151	0.088536877	0.024211296	0.027384842	0.069805333	0.028693635	0.092724143	0.067470149	0.019683922	0.055045204	
5	0.086457614	0.055072657	0.071720992	0.089111120	0.069667082	0.011893892	0.055181544	0.006367421	0.059474204	0.063753881	
6	0.022503868	0.016163729	0.060949072	0.091777222	0.062247990	0.067694023	0.016575229	0.097107691	0.099925118	0.039857245	
7	0.000016554	0.068682807	0.070000441	0.085376495	0.071095031	0.090696516	0.026952117	0.002052394	0.068436458	0.059934452	
8	0.076067786	0.058131169	0.047627730	0.052631881	0.014714001	0.062019278	0.085712216	0.018122689	0.005818399	0.050251930	
9	0.044093494	0.002919132	0.013261422	0.017399972	0.058380580	0.051173137	0.009912796	0.023110315	0.021480560	0.023071720	
10	0.008782610	0.062675614	0.060953727	0.035420538	0.088536843	0.060798804	0.017801328	0.085190935	0.083247679	0.054008372	
11	0.100593141	0.100396950	0.044992803	0.069148810	0.055528229	0.045118418	0.056649822	0.087104011	0.016148816	0.006887184	
12	0.097205062	0.007259403	0.051243758	0.101523827	0.044649792	0.040196511	0.069997087	0.019445299	0.007289295	0.077411088	
13	0.052323470	0.037830917	0.031323124	0.046548434	0.093038962	0.049782978	0.021150533	0.104840295	0.063517778	0.013079696	
14	0.018032175	0.025567586	0.055357326	0.003155478	0.057561203	0.076744301	0.039391817	0.003105487	0.090649719	0.052608559	
15	0.068130807	0.108793483	0.017934527	0.031492695	0.062140420	0.000983061	0.067977053	0.052423998	0.000170263	0.076748389	
16	0.025913698	0.045968927	0.082220315	0.013776843	0.033848726	0.009857283	0.018884426	0.067531926	0.058071836	0.030397273	
17	0.098468059	0.006937858	0.059284345	0.007003944	0.016713281	0.019919444	0.096114478	0.083564882	0.030034772	0.087243466	
18	0.043785991	0.047600874	0.049773597	0.092735132	0.024615841	0.077555439	0.002287264	0.011137469	0.041730468	0.020318015	
19	0.079254312	0.073378990	0.080533882	0.075053157	0.039922652	0.089693744	0.038659620	0.045296185	0.057519408	0.069982288	
20	0.020103130	0.020415749	0.008553436	0.046236850	0.0001308684	0.035860540	0.074768913	0.085821415	0.041315892	0.028235492	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$											
do not regroup	0.089	0.109	0.104	0.106	0.103	0.106	0.097	0.095	0.107	0.103	
regroup	0.100	0.105	0.102	0.099	0.102	0.101	0.095	0.102	0.098	0.101	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$											
do not regroup	0.048	0.057	0.055	0.053	0.058	0.060	0.052	0.053	0.060	0.054	
regroup	0.054	0.053	0.053	0.048	0.056	0.053	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.050	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$											
do not regroup	0.018	0.015	0.009	0.011	0.014	0.016	0.011	0.014	0.019	0.011	
regroup	0.013	0.013	0.009	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	

**Table A7.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial populations, where the theoretical probabilities under the null hypothesis are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 1000$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the fully specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

population distribution											
k	popul. 1	popul. 3	popul. 4	popul. 8	popul. 9	popul. 12	popul. 14	popul. 15	popul. 16	popul. 18	
1	0.086403829	0.027831969	0.034647847	0.066427337	0.011941121	0.036515589	0.027178041	0.006167233	0.102965702	0.072385838	
2	0.126115131	0.033022721	0.068331204	0.064753732	0.030317750	0.044022950	0.081276230	0.058690477	0.039857006	0.041797540	
3	0.003701857	0.069020808	0.086562978	0.014322857	0.039400867	0.031380261	0.002324866	0.009757145	0.096094633	0.044012553	
4	0.087334122	0.057751497	0.001515899	0.000061967	0.033475410	0.023410240	0.092569838	0.091735551	0.053230597	0.056106000	
5	0.088466246	0.083659666	0.099684674	0.034414442	0.070580693	0.013220949	0.004018535	0.070794259	0.068660196	0.067488478	
6	0.040139121	0.072053283	0.004248836	0.039202054	0.092060032	0.000755158	0.062244097	0.001818666	0.006023243	0.045762370	
7	0.035496055	0.026718019	0.034590801	0.046239080	0.048305619	0.063675307	0.030445736	0.071872580	0.039035047	0.064274476	
8	0.066676696	0.034312791	0.061627901	0.081551238	0.003049453	0.093602008	0.022935046	0.044630722	0.021955132	0.015453954	
9	0.126981250	0.074811112	0.007994219	0.089815281	0.047053740	0.110811279	0.064454119	0.064169616	0.096198491	0.073257160	
10	0.005244943	0.038052516	0.058487468	0.030237968	0.037043015	0.046797729	0.066025531	0.053393883	0.057142848	0.014064999	
11	0.025807180	0.007324624	0.029073335	0.065088621	0.086657108	0.046797729	0.066025531	0.106982482	0.084322875	0.076318917	
12	0.048868600	0.003362205	0.045905763	0.059651340	0.006491148	0.072503067	0.074450794	0.1116293555	0.040732458	0.076540555	
13	0.013164045	0.072596073	0.098217793	0.084083663	0.039637897	0.002011858	0.067179949	0.018291986	0.039725583	0.044254924	
14	0.022029874	0.073439034	0.042148633	0.074453016	0.096966438	0.091853613	0.043152479	0.070921712	0.005461318	0.045592138	
15	0.063183192	0.074696494	0.019899462	0.088186646	0.032838526	0.078491318	0.075872526	0.006711885	0.006451768	0.036205940	
16	0.007570864	0.040819714	0.090912651	0.017936627	0.086937269	0.011212680	0.065368287	0.040466816	0.052657694	0.073639777	
17	0.020325254	0.082820695	0.052077725	0.027287750	0.090745181	0.081551839	0.003134611	0.006534136	0.075001996	0.051141004	
18	0.033462668	0.050321400	0.079905443	0.012287602	0.040715073	0.071053400	0.091362328	0.004076579	0.098089330	0.060214286	
19	0.049686185	0.012519013	0.022614239	0.015349585	0.025401215	0.008021889	0.011371395	0.099912643	0.011415523	0.002374922	
20	0.049342888	0.064866366	0.061553130	0.088649193	0.080382444	0.070075672	0.096696489	0.056778073	0.004978558	0.039114168	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$											
do not regroup	0.106	0.104	0.108	0.110	0.099	0.111	0.101	0.102	0.105	0.096	
regroup	0.100	0.106	0.106	0.092	0.098	0.106	0.099	0.102	0.104	0.094	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$											
do not regroup	0.053	0.055	0.058	0.062	0.050	0.055	0.055	0.053	0.051	0.050	
regroup	0.051	0.055	0.056	0.043	0.051	0.056	0.051	0.053	0.050	0.050	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$											
do not regroup	0.010	0.010	0.013	0.017	0.011	0.014	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.011	
regroup	0.009	0.009	0.013	0.009	0.013	0.010	0.011	0.009	0.011	0.010	

**Table A8.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 10$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 50$  and  $k = 10$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment										
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18
1	0.067722412	0.093438111	0.099092428	0.055167980	0.126712503	0.158331638	0.129522785	0.155141773	0.129108184	0.154908851
2	0.108251949	0.039193314	0.143654215	0.170135074	0.175667913	0.054474180	0.101468142	0.117873535	0.134484690	0.064089325
3	0.059166912	0.088253560	0.090975941	0.058609862	0.086016774	0.142045200	0.058832579	0.163627763	0.021375951	0.166329069
4	0.161665856	0.068734338	0.085228675	0.037666222	0.011613805	0.104115288	0.100833318	0.175329030	0.018903249	0.132811973
5	0.118838198	0.145124529	0.168663482	0.034227447	0.101429894	0.077007347	0.067901927	0.029351531	0.055381409	0.077241285
6	0.149585825	0.125514219	0.070588045	0.103546999	0.210875342	0.089579279	0.168068825	0.034844052	0.182953566	0.053626300
7	0.137791080	0.158950344	0.133376176	0.176459534	0.021765578	0.023434411	0.015373940	0.133862115	0.127970072	0.035629231
8	0.059378892	0.119973253	0.041377815	0.159305809	0.044490360	0.0318116071	0.158329630	0.055992935	0.145612819	0.153044117
9	0.083716990	0.011236121	0.003529199	0.133811376	0.115097525	0.160946852	0.162414523	0.045883570	0.055317926	0.066055454
10	0.053881886	0.149582209	0.163514025	0.071069698	0.106330305	0.158249734	0.037254330	0.088093695	0.128892133	0.096264395
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.113	0.115	0.121	0.108	0.112	0.119	0.108	0.112	0.108	0.114
regroup	0.133	0.124	0.158	0.135	0.126	0.128	0.126	0.135	0.127	0.144
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.051	0.068	0.061	0.052	0.061	0.061	0.058	0.058	0.061	0.056
regroup	0.068	0.062	0.076	0.067	0.063	0.061	0.064	0.063	0.065	0.073
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.010	0.018	0.024	0.012	0.016	0.013	0.015	0.014	0.018	0.012
regroup	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.011	0.015	0.014

**Table A9.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 15$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 75$  and  $k = 15$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment										
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18
1	0.127057671	0.083861803	0.081106551	0.072320794	0.078080154	0.029394665	0.118093818	0.131915150	0.124764161	0.101425616
2	0.088104527	0.067347127	0.063163406	0.031832989	0.091721146	0.057298124	0.064924762	0.137187332	0.084398252	0.054144288
3	0.029902404	0.085171458	0.035443425	0.062531848	0.002989394	0.050403893	0.085464849	0.092797832	0.074048405	0.083714805
4	0.008582462	0.024179898	0.090621898	0.006554065	0.009238380	0.117528851	0.088907182	0.013390771	0.032826123	0.033579822
5	0.113134725	0.110562508	0.043446425	0.085630406	0.132330601	0.000768729	0.081224262	0.077103919	0.031323120	0.069563207
6	0.007700507	0.042833764	0.079690194	0.050492072	0.045150829	0.021549876	0.008446190	0.154708685	0.001610057	0.079027830
7	0.130266721	0.036978384	0.054341777	0.005275661	0.119958130	0.086208961	0.044215657	0.049446275	0.017379203	0.058223969
8	0.043228436	0.039777167	0.055824977	0.037389853	0.037573870	0.058081580	0.105879702	0.025265570	0.032805863	0.008089689
9	0.080676242	0.112476617	0.079526539	0.016972967	0.095983503	0.120659949	0.027606531	0.027259488	0.103095726	0.010500826
10	0.094468872	0.094139849	0.062781085	0.078659953	0.124772249	0.097925781	0.064328900	0.145884761	0.034570874	0.105571614
11	0.023806346	0.084163565	0.108837039	0.107596687	0.031639086	0.028899879	0.027285188	0.063096567	0.106607857	0.058341183
12	0.115757204	0.029147017	0.025956871	0.144561350	0.037859485	0.096476790	0.119112740	0.011338246	0.093203420	0.120721080
13	0.107141421	0.101775293	0.103602266	0.054244863	0.121044273	0.109784646	0.027558602	0.021700713	0.046306240	0.124422616
14	0.017980943	0.025664489	0.077737739	0.118268377	0.034410514	0.091606477	0.051464298	0.030177488	0.096164501	0.091153404
15	0.012191519	0.061921062	0.037919809	0.127668115	0.037248387	0.033411800	0.085487321	0.018727203	0.120896197	0.001520050
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.132	0.127	0.126	0.136	0.142	0.152	0.140	0.132	0.141	0.140
regroup	0.144	0.135	0.139	0.134	0.139	0.147	0.141	0.133	0.142	0.142
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.078	0.078	0.082	0.092	0.093	0.111	0.086	0.084	0.089	0.094
regroup	0.090	0.083	0.085	0.083	0.085	0.086	0.089	0.084	0.086	0.085
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.044	0.040	0.041	0.047	0.049	0.062	0.045	0.041	0.049	0.052
regroup	0.041	0.040	0.041	0.040	0.039	0.041	0.040	0.040	0.041	0.041



**Table A10.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 100$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment										
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18
1	0.009906949	0.042585801	0.036322397	0.023803358	0.056050361	0.048540316	0.048978727	0.014696213	0.004618549	0.020337923
2	0.043334958	0.084595131	0.073420820	0.064449053	0.043706663	0.085501927	0.054249217	0.049354784	0.045174755	0.012077438
3	0.068751733	0.055949091	0.065878707	0.037395221	0.035956904	0.056738810	0.062717404	0.038945982	0.006326356	0.012472135
4	0.075322010	0.088903934	0.093919822	0.080488103	0.012855218	0.082229679	0.057512461	0.087900507	0.104546576	0.089286075
5	0.0844464071	0.089215586	0.091398883	0.018016210	0.085894992	0.021013929	0.067902855	0.082246443	0.054052148	0.007489974
6	0.055848915	0.063276437	0.021928939	0.036960583	0.000994794	0.011044924	0.037607372	0.064129728	0.077098867	0.000477913
7	0.033849691	0.007263521	0.064953627	0.069011621	0.055694252	0.093010187	0.041731769	0.019967383	0.110322256	0.059307357
8	0.041782157	0.015192684	0.004878198	0.006500386	0.038241324	0.008823266	0.066635437	0.037496205	0.044073167	0.092347960
9	0.049615947	0.009841069	0.009040455	0.094543419	0.028413965	0.099002066	0.074037397	0.062557226	0.094012295	0.081521459
10	0.054796141	0.001109167	0.090830640	0.047526405	0.072759348	0.039090212	0.057435627	0.033694948	0.027255678	0.012003245
11	0.049573297	0.045490837	0.070485338	0.022710569	0.092939405	0.070205677	0.054643564	0.035830706	0.102879776	0.064882703
12	0.034362080	0.011503208	0.012250549	0.077123015	0.087869027	0.051263015	0.046862814	0.061074007	0.002815851	0.065966882
13	0.003321141	0.082512233	0.036884669	0.047694596	0.094458297	0.053229198	0.030201345	0.056240124	0.006559463	0.006896735
14	0.035231977	0.028957298	0.021443815	0.071919102	0.009911000	0.005160299	0.016883433	0.011740128	0.002543542	0.055721211
15	0.096938721	0.058782500	0.060608656	0.064842833	0.042211503	0.051071775	0.044675117	0.057298982	0.035805897	0.044729954
16	0.079250776	0.010929522	0.058052934	0.006038138	0.073256785	0.003184016	0.031371077	0.075481374	0.070503856	0.082763910
17	0.032532973	0.090748245	0.089832763	0.087521877	0.023712180	0.069816537	0.072661166	0.038469940	0.089473563	0.068822077
18	0.068855512	0.033207256	0.002387938	0.054802997	0.083944166	0.088130478	0.057917558	0.093425379	0.013317647	0.086962221
19	0.019344094	0.104381792	0.043173925	0.007385808	0.009079374	0.014168915	0.028620055	0.050048690	0.046279136	0.089193171
20	0.062916859	0.075554687	0.052306925	0.081266705	0.052050441	0.048774773	0.047355605	0.029401252	0.062340620	0.046739658
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.106	0.110	0.113	0.110	0.116	0.113	0.101	0.100	0.120	0.129
regroup	0.104	0.112	0.111	0.109	0.112	0.106	0.105	0.109	0.111	0.120
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.053	0.060	0.066	0.057	0.065	0.064	0.055	0.048	0.069	0.087
regroup	0.050	0.056	0.054	0.054	0.058	0.055	0.056	0.052	0.054	0.060
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.013	0.019	0.019	0.017	0.020	0.022	0.013	0.011	0.023	0.037
regroup	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.010	0.008	0.011	0.013

**Table A11.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 250$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment											
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18	
1	0.047643128	0.054666723	0.056038758	0.090599483	0.025317013	0.023099063	0.064584061	0.136478476	0.043123333	0.054066459	
2	0.024791212	0.044261848	0.032569245	0.044497446	0.014610403	0.084334948	0.009032926	0.014084696	0.072955298	0.053573431	
3	0.054639637	0.071340360	0.073471657	0.049842681	0.020683555	0.037648781	0.066675056	0.006032668	0.034107228	0.013291318	
4	0.011551957	0.035790188	0.017892204	0.048986190	0.015986321	0.061877989	0.023052570	0.051462288	0.069213717	0.045963934	
5	0.043209785	0.068393517	0.060880791	0.038016856	0.078291674	0.015100046	0.085352639	0.015104595	0.055712777	0.094207824	
6	0.058607724	0.084149275	0.040842165	0.082739121	0.059420758	0.012071383	0.011090706	0.077633225	0.038688100	0.023326840	
7	0.004077781	0.036377709	0.062850108	0.075899205	0.050698562	0.072435772	0.049065314	0.072825503	0.083729668	0.047299352	
8	0.085955934	0.066886372	0.073347443	0.035307555	0.045219825	0.044208957	0.055207226	0.019632440	0.056600081	0.018773053	
9	0.023369288	0.015630083	0.032843147	0.026278327	0.058397084	0.032659614	0.083467899	0.016586480	0.010340800	0.050770889	
10	0.087652522	0.007532102	0.054125222	0.034259425	0.044017966	0.061446558	0.039048538	0.069347828	0.000467925	0.095008505	
11	0.095771275	0.057965331	0.055877398	0.011887980	0.088882200	0.029313393	0.063182257	0.045379877	0.079491665	0.001551221	
12	0.096306521	0.030974889	0.036689952	0.006101936	0.044860170	0.068419459	0.062127872	0.119150362	0.038817979	0.013723146	
13	0.080897006	0.087201505	0.004498861	0.029781475	0.094173221	0.009146832	0.018611673	0.017167618	0.063579053	0.040856797	
14	0.013705065	0.070556907	0.100690100	0.059337269	0.017035620	0.083251916	0.051065504	0.033760507	0.011793975	0.071820279	
15	0.024205251	0.014659583	0.060392354	0.087250033	0.067126421	0.059621249	0.048932496	0.001487572	0.063205970	0.098332826	
16	0.004839018	0.087276954	0.007352012	0.074132698	0.079880521	0.091801986	0.023883012	0.075759621	0.016191521	0.021901263	
17	0.060014816	0.068505786	0.040949294	0.063520955	0.093936062	0.032076674	0.029721620	0.096471797	0.075764151	0.060809674	
18	0.037409444	0.050921813	0.080223679	0.007014358	0.004676127	0.060818519	0.056226443	0.055834461	0.092658366	0.034348079	
19	0.071116566	0.043564240	0.034035218	0.082594193	0.036804493	0.082172574	0.077824913	0.006284488	0.082035648	0.102590301	
20	0.074236070	0.003344816	0.074430393	0.051952812	0.059982005	0.038494286	0.081847276	0.069515496	0.011522743	0.057784808	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$											
do not regroup	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.105	0.107	0.106	0.103	0.116	0.110	0.109	
regroup	0.103	0.103	0.101	0.106	0.105	0.102	0.102	0.104	0.100	0.098	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$											
do not regroup	0.055	0.057	0.056	0.054	0.053	0.054	0.047	0.064	0.060	0.056	
regroup	0.047	0.055	0.051	0.052	0.051	0.051	0.053	0.055	0.053	0.054	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$											
do not regroup	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.010	0.018	0.019	0.015	
regroup	0.009	0.013	0.010	0.013	0.008	0.010	0.009	0.013	0.013	0.012	

**Table A12.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 500$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10, 0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each of the nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment											
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18	
1	0.009323228	0.021842441	0.073053675	0.040873644	0.034371818	0.004343310	0.077050114	0.009333736	0.089174555	0.077053926	
2	0.073419610	0.084240115	0.043107814	0.042528243	0.090126015	0.092113419	0.078204289	0.067545345	0.093289019	0.032029821	
3	0.064476239	0.067584721	0.053896718	0.030820872	0.011727517	0.084304086	0.054005211	0.063428357	0.053021839	0.082081999	
4	0.011049151	0.088536877	0.024211296	0.027384842	0.069805333	0.028693635	0.092724143	0.067470149	0.019683922	0.055045204	
5	0.086457614	0.055072657	0.071720992	0.089111120	0.069667082	0.011893892	0.055181544	0.006367421	0.059474204	0.063753881	
6	0.022503868	0.016163729	0.060949072	0.091777222	0.062247990	0.067694023	0.016575229	0.097107691	0.099925118	0.039857245	
7	0.000016554	0.068682807	0.070000441	0.085376495	0.071095031	0.090696516	0.026952117	0.002052394	0.068436458	0.059934452	
8	0.076067786	0.058131169	0.047627730	0.052631881	0.014714001	0.062019278	0.085712216	0.018122689	0.005818399	0.050251930	
9	0.044093494	0.002919132	0.013261422	0.017399972	0.058380580	0.051731317	0.009912796	0.023110315	0.021480560	0.023071720	
10	0.008782610	0.062675614	0.060953727	0.035420538	0.088536843	0.060798804	0.017801328	0.085190935	0.083247679	0.054008372	
11	0.100593141	0.100396950	0.044992803	0.069148810	0.055528229	0.0451118418	0.056649822	0.087104011	0.016148816	0.006887184	
12	0.097205062	0.007259403	0.051243758	0.101523827	0.044649792	0.040196511	0.069997087	0.019445299	0.0077289295	0.077411088	
13	0.052323470	0.037830917	0.031323124	0.046548434	0.093038962	0.049782978	0.021150533	0.104840295	0.063517778	0.013079696	
14	0.018032175	0.025567586	0.055357326	0.003155478	0.057561203	0.076744301	0.039391817	0.003105487	0.090649719	0.052608559	
15	0.068130807	0.108793483	0.017934527	0.031492695	0.062140420	0.000983061	0.067977053	0.052423998	0.000170263	0.076748389	
16	0.025913698	0.045968927	0.082220315	0.013776843	0.033848726	0.009857283	0.018884426	0.067531926	0.058071836	0.030397273	
17	0.098468059	0.006937858	0.059284345	0.007003944	0.016713281	0.019919444	0.096114478	0.083564882	0.030034772	0.087243466	
18	0.043785991	0.047600874	0.049773597	0.092735132	0.024615841	0.077555439	0.002287264	0.011137469	0.041730468	0.020318015	
19	0.079254312	0.073378990	0.080533882	0.075053157	0.039922652	0.089693744	0.038659620	0.045296185	0.057519408	0.069982288	
20	0.020103130	0.020415749	0.008553436	0.046236850	0.001308684	0.035860540	0.074768913	0.085821415	0.041315892	0.028235492	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$											
do not regroup	0.085	0.107	0.113	0.112	0.104	0.109	0.109	0.109	0.116	0.103	
regroup	0.106	0.111	0.114	0.105	0.108	0.110	0.107	0.107	0.103	0.104	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$											
do not regroup	0.048	0.056	0.055	0.058	0.055	0.061	0.059	0.062	0.069	0.052	
regroup	0.053	0.056	0.057	0.057	0.053	0.057	0.053	0.058	0.054	0.050	
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$											
do not regroup	0.016	0.014	0.014	0.016	0.011	0.017	0.017	0.016	0.020	0.012	
regroup	0.011	0.011	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.015	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.010	

**Table A13.** Results reported for 10 selected different multinomial population distributions, with the theoretical class probabilities under the null hypothesis of a normal distribution are described in the top part of the table for the different  $k = 20$  classes considered for the simulation study. In this case, 5000 simulations from each population were simulated for  $N = 1000$  and  $k = 20$ , and three different nominal significance levels considered ( $\alpha=0.10$ ,  $0.05$  and  $0.01$ ). Significance levels attained by using the procedure without regrouping and those attained using the regrouping procedure proposed here are reported at the bottom of the table for each nominal significance level in the study for the partially specified chi-square goodness-of-fit test.

Probability assignment										
k	assig. 1	assig. 3	assig. 4	assig. 8	assig. 9	assig. 12	assig. 14	assig. 15	assig. 16	assig. 18
1	0.086403829	0.027831969	0.034647847	0.066427337	0.011941121	0.036515589	0.027178041	0.006167233	0.102965702	0.072385838
2	0.126115131	0.033022721	0.068331204	0.064753732	0.030317750	0.044022950	0.081276230	0.058690477	0.039857006	0.041797540
3	0.003701857	0.069020808	0.086562978	0.014322857	0.039400867	0.031380261	0.002324866	0.009757145	0.096094633	0.044012553
4	0.087334122	0.057751497	0.001515899	0.000061967	0.033475410	0.023410240	0.092569838	0.091735551	0.053230597	0.056106000
5	0.088466246	0.083659666	0.099684674	0.034414442	0.073080693	0.013220949	0.004018535	0.070794259	0.068660196	0.067488478
6	0.040139121	0.072053283	0.004248836	0.039202054	0.092060032	0.000755158	0.062244097	0.001818666	0.006023243	0.045762370
7	0.035496055	0.026718019	0.034590801	0.046239080	0.048305619	0.063675307	0.030445736	0.071872580	0.039035047	0.064274476
8	0.066676696	0.034312791	0.061627901	0.081551238	0.003049453	0.093602008	0.022935046	0.044630722	0.021955132	0.015453954
9	0.126981250	0.074811112	0.007994219	0.089815281	0.047053740	0.049033194	0.017939102	0.064169616	0.096198491	0.073257160
10	0.005244943	0.038052516	0.058487468	0.030237968	0.037043015	0.110811279	0.064454119	0.053393883	0.057142848	0.014064999
11	0.025807180	0.007324624	0.029073335	0.065088621	0.086657108	0.046797729	0.066025531	0.106982482	0.084322875	0.076318917
12	0.048868600	0.003362205	0.045905763	0.059651340	0.006491148	0.072503067	0.074450794	0.116293555	0.040732458	0.076540555
13	0.013164045	0.072596073	0.098217793	0.084083663	0.039637897	0.002011858	0.067179949	0.018291986	0.039725583	0.044254924
14	0.022029874	0.073439034	0.042148633	0.074453016	0.096966438	0.091853613	0.043152479	0.070921712	0.005461318	0.045592138
15	0.063183192	0.074696494	0.019899462	0.088186646	0.032838526	0.078491318	0.075872526	0.006711885	0.006451768	0.036205940
16	0.007570864	0.040819714	0.090912651	0.017936627	0.086937269	0.011212680	0.065368287	0.040466816	0.052657694	0.073639777
17	0.020325254	0.082820695	0.052077725	0.027287750	0.090745181	0.081551839	0.003134611	0.006534136	0.075001996	0.051141004
18	0.033462668	0.050321400	0.079905443	0.012287602	0.040715073	0.071053400	0.091362328	0.004076579	0.098089330	0.060214286
19	0.049686185	0.012519013	0.022614239	0.015349585	0.025401215	0.008021889	0.011371395	0.099912643	0.011415523	0.002374922
20	0.049342888	0.064866366	0.061553130	0.088649193	0.080382444	0.070075672	0.096696489	0.056778073	0.004978558	0.039114168
nominal significance level. $\alpha=10\%$										
do not regroup	0.104	0.106	0.101	0.131	0.108	0.105	0.107	0.103	0.108	0.114
regroup	0.102	0.107	0.105	0.110	0.108	0.105	0.102	0.107	0.112	0.115
nominal significance level. $\alpha=5\%$										
do not regroup	0.058	0.058	0.054	0.080	0.057	0.056	0.059	0.051	0.052	0.054
regroup	0.057	0.056	0.049	0.057	0.056	0.054	0.056	0.051	0.055	0.055
nominal significance level. $\alpha=1\%$										
do not regroup	0.010	0.013	0.010	0.026	0.011	0.014	0.014	0.012	0.011	0.009
regroup	0.011	0.014	0.009	0.014	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.010	0.011	0.010

**Table A14.** Case 2. Sample values ( $N = 50$ ) generated for a standard normal distribution with the *rnorm* function in R.

−0.65618448	−1.54766098	0.17835732	0.00138515	−1.40268582
−0.20245233	0.484569688	0.66742264	0.39006195	0.82936868
−0.79846811	1.56794024	0.17461046	0.17167498	2.17726899
−0.55908895	0.735762715	0.56947521	−0.71881009	−0.81875671
0.2486506	0.042690025	−0.96268114	−0.44881241	−0.40618589
0.50259586	−0.88656895	−0.00530998	0.82506957	0.14533868
−0.80817805	−0.10141299	−0.56441779	−1.10274085	−0.10099009
0.00394035	−0.15807742	0.59004733	1.33483171	−0.06117801
2.75651035	0.73222822	−0.7226212	0.06114264	−1.01208944
0.18956141	0.766926096	0.91360816	−0.53365171	0.3428841